

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/DE2003/003628



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference W/W-Pct-brü	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/DE2003/003628	International filing date (day/month/year) 31 October 2003 (31.10.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05 November 2002 (05.11.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61C 13/083		
Applicant WOLZ, Stefan		

<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>5</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of _____ sheets.</p>
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p>

Date of submission of the demand 03 April 2004 (03.04.2004)	Date of completion of this report 26 July 2004 (26.07.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DE2003/003628

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☐ the international application as originally filed
- ☒ the description:
pages _____ 1-7 _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☒ the claims:
pages _____ 1-12 _____, as originally filed
pages _____, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☒ the drawings:
pages _____ 1/4-4/4 _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, Nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig _____

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/DE 03/03628

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

This report makes reference to the following documents:

- D1: DE 100 21 437 A (WOLZ STEFAN) 15 November 2001 (2001-11-15), mentioned in the application
- D2: WO 99 50480 A (CHERNIAK LIUDMILA; GAL OR LEAH (IL); FRIEDMAN MARK M (IL); GOLDNER) 7 October 1999 (1999-10-07), mentioned in the application
- D3: DE 100 49 971 A (WIELAND EDELMETALLE) 11 April 2002 (2002-04-11).

V.1. Novelty of independent claim 1:

D1 is regarded as the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1. It discloses (the reference signs in parentheses refer to D1) a method for coating a tooth portion by means of electrophoresis, in order to produce a pure ceramic tooth portion (column 1, line 63 to column 2, line 31).

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that a pellet that is electrically conductive or rendered conductive is arranged on the working model or on the frame portion, the pellet having areas that can have different conductivities.

In contrast, in D1 the working model is coated with an electrically conductive, fluid or liquefied separating agent and said agent solidifies on the working model by means of cooling. This electrically conductive coating is not a pellet. Furthermore, with this coating, "an even layer is deposited" (D1, paragraph [0010]), which can be achieved only if the coating does not have areas with different conductivities.

Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 appears to be novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

V.2. Inventive step of independent claim 1:

The problem to be solved by the present invention can therefore be regarded as that of producing pure ceramic tooth portions having complex shapes without requiring any reworking.

None of the documents cited in the search report discloses the production of a tooth portion by means of electrophoresis involving a pellet with areas having different conductivities. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 appears to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

V.3. Dependent claims

Claims 2-12 disclose special embodiments of the invention defined in claim 1.

Therefore, the subject matter of claims 2-12 appears to be novel and inventive (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)).